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A B S T R A C T

Advanced wound care technologies need to evolve in response to the growing burden of chronic wounds on national healthcare budgets and the debilitating impact chronic wounds have on patient quality of life. We describe here a new type of smart bandage for determination of uric acid (UA) status, a key wound biomarker, formed by screen printing an amperometric biosensor directly on a wound dressing. Immobilized uricase, paired with a printed catalytic Prussian blue transducer, facilitates chronoamperometric detection of uric acid at a low working potential. The smart bandage biosensor interfaces with a custom designed wearable potentiostat that provides on-demand wireless data transfer of UA status to a computer, tablet, or Smartphone by radio frequency identification (RFID) or near-field communication (NFC). The analytical performance of the smart bandage—sensitivity, selectivity, operational stability, and mechanical robustness—is described. Application of these bandages will provide insight into wound status and may reduce the frequency at which dressings are changed, allowing for healthcare cost savings and a reduction in patient stress and pain.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Around 2% of people in the developed world will suffer a chronic wound during their lifetime [1]. This alarming figure is rising because of ageing population demographics [2], and chronic venous leg ulcers alone affect 15% of all people aged over 70 years worldwide [3,4]. The United States currently spends $25bn a year on chronic wound care [5] and similarly large sums are spent in most major economies [2, 6–8]. It is clear that wound management represents a significant social and financial burden. Outpatient services are heavily loaded by the costs and resources required for treating chronic wounds. Cost reduction strategies often seek to reduce the number and frequency of dressing changes [9,10]. Moreover, dressing changes cause stress and pain for patients [11]. The argument for advanced wound care technologies to evolve to address these challenges is compelling. Specifically, there is a need for smart bandages that monitor status parameters and that communicate wound status in a clinically relevant and cost effective manner [8]. In doing so, smart bandages will help shift the paradigm of chronic wound care from routine management and time-based dressing changes toward personalized care and knowledge-based treatment.

Sensor research in wound monitoring focuses primarily on generic physiological status indicators: temperature [12,13], moisture [14,15], pH [16–18], \( \text{PO}_2 \) [19,20], and bacterial load [21,22]. However, uric acid (UA) concentration in wound exudate is highly correlated with wound severity [23,24] and significantly decreases during bacterial infection because of catabolism by microbial uricase [25]. This makes UA a highly specific indicator of wound status and infection and is why we selected it as a key biomarker for our research.

Bandage-based electrochemical detection of UA has been described elsewhere [25,26]. This non-enzymatic sensor employed square wave voltammetry on a carbon fiber mesh working electrode to detect changes in urate levels. The sensor required a large positive potential on the working electrode to catalyze the oxidation of UA, which could result in interference from other easily oxidized species present in wound exudate. Also, while the potentiostat was portable, it was neither mobile nor wearable. It is apparent that effective data communication by wireless or non-contact means is a prerequisite for the successful adoption and ease-of-use of smart bandages.

We describe the development and analytical characterization of a novel amperometric bandage-based UA biosensing system with non-contact wireless connectivity, Fig. 1. The new wearable UA biosensor has been fabricated by screen-printing Prussian blue (PB) modified...
carbon electrodes onto a commercial bandage, and immobilizing the enzyme urate oxidase (urate oxidase) on the working electrode. The enzyme provides highly specific oxidation of uric acid and the PB-carbon electrode catalytically reduces the hydrogen peroxide product of UA oxidation. This enables sensitive and specific detection of UA at a very low negative working potential. The bandage connects to a novel potentiostat developed specifically for use with mobile and wearable biosensors and which has integral wireless capability. The potentiostat autonomously measures and stores the biosensor current output which is proportional to UA concentration. Upon request, data are wirelessly transferred from the potentiostat by radio frequency identification (RFID) to a computer, or by near-field communication (NFC) to a Smartphone or tablet.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Reagents and instrumentation

All chemicals were from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Uricase was from Candida, bovine serum albumin (BSA), glutaraldehyde solution (8%), and chitosan were used in the sensor fabrication. Uric acid, creatinine, D-(+)-glucose, L-(+)-lactic acid, L-ascorbic acid, and 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline, pH7 (PBS), prepared from K2HPO4 and KH2PO4, were used in characterization experiments. Electrochemical characterization was performed with CH Instruments (Austin, TX) 440 electrochemical analyzer and the wearable potentiostat. The wearable potentiostat is credit card sized and powered from a button cell. It contains an RFID/NFC interface for wireless data transfer to a computer, Smartphone, or tablet. The electronics is described elsewhere [27].

2.2. Smart bandage biosensor fabrication

Smart bandage biosensors were fabricated by screen printing, Fig. 1A. First, a transparent insulator layer was printed on the bandages and cured at 120 °C for 20 min. The subsequent printing steps, in which an Ag/AgCl pseudo-reference electrode and PB-carbon working and counter electrodes were fabricated, are described elsewhere [28]. Finally, another insulator layer was printed and cured to coat the conductive tracks and to define the working electrode area. The working electrode was functionalized by drop casting 3 μL of a solution consisting of 1 wt% BSA, 0.5 wt% glutaraldehyde, and 15 mg/mL uricase in PBS. After drying at room temperature, the electrode surface was drop coated with 3 μL of 0.5 wt% chitosan solution.

2.3. Sensor characterization experiments

In vitro experiments were performed by dispensing 200 μL of phosphate-buffered saline onto the sensing area of the bandage. Smart bandages were connected to the electrochemical analyzer or wearable potentiostat with microclip connectors. Chronoamperometric measurements were made at 0.3 V vs. Ag/AgCl. The working potential was selected based on cyclic voltammetry of the PB-carbon transducer. During experimental work, the wearable potentiostat collected data at a sample rate of 0.80 s⁻¹. Redox current values were digitized and stored to internal memory, and on completion of the experiment transmitted to a computer fitted with a desktop RFID reader. Chronoamperograms were plotted on the computer in MS-Excel (Microsoft Corp, Redmond, WA). The final steady-state current was taken as the average of 10 data points recorded around t = 60 s.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Smart bandage design

The new UA biosensor was fabricated by screen printing directly onto the soft fabric of a bandage, followed by functionalization of the working electrode. The scheme in Fig. 1C illustrates immobilisation of uricase on the working electrode through glutaraldehyde cross-linking with BSA, and the operating principle of the biosensor. Hydrogen peroxide, generated by the enzyme catalyzed oxidation of UA, is selectively reduced by PB, and the reduction current, which correlates to UA concentration, is recorded by the potentiostat. The biocompatible chitosan layer was applied to reduce leaching of the sensor constituents into the sample medium. The analytical performance of the smart bandage
biosensor—sensitivity, selectivity, operational stability, and robustness—was evaluated through a series of in vitro experiments performed in PBS.

3.2. Response to uric acid

The current response of the smart bandage biosensor to 100–800 μM UA was determined using the CHI 440 electrochemical analyzer and the wearable potentiostat. Uric acid concentration in wound fluid varies between approximately 220 and 750 μM [29]. The chronoamperograms obtained for each are shown, Fig. 2A and B. The sensitivity coefficient (SC) of the biosensor was calculated from the slope of the calibration plots and found to be 2.39 ± 0.04 nA/μM UA with both instruments, Fig. 2C and D. The UA biosensor exhibits excellent linearity over the full physiological concentration range independent of the instrument, where $R^2 = 0.9987$ for the CHI 440 electrochemical analyzer, $R^2 = 0.9985$ with the wearable potentiostat, and with excellent agreement between the two instruments of $R^2 = 0.9967$. The repeatability of the smart bandage was determined by performing repeat serial calibrations on the same sensor. The SC was found to be $-2.39 \pm 0.04$ nA/μM (1.85% RSD, $n = 3$).

3.3. Selectivity

The new UA sensor operates at a very low negative working potential ($E_{\text{work}} = -0.3$ V), thereby virtually eliminating interference from other easily oxidized species found in wound fluid. Selectivity is of course of paramount importance when working in complex biological media like wound fluids. Urate oxidase makes the biosensor highly specific to UA and the PB-carbon electrode has a catalytic action on the hydroperoxide product of the uric acid oxidation. The selectivity of the sensor was tested in the presence of common electroactive species, at physiological concentrations from the literature [29]. The interferents had no significant effect on the UA current signal, less than 3% compared to a 400 μM UA standard, Fig. 3A and B. Ascorbic acid had a small interferent effect (10% compared to a 400 μM UA standard) but only when increased to ten times the physiological concentration typically found in human serum [30]. This was done to mimic the possible effect of vitamin C dietary supplements.

3.4. Stability

The stability of the smart bandage sensor was assessed by measuring the response to a 400 μM UA standard repeatedly every 15 min over a period of 8 hours. Long-term operational stability of status indicators, especially biosensors, is a prerequisite if wound monitoring is to become reality. Measurements with the wireless potentiostat were taken at 15 min intervals, and the UA solution was replenished 1 min prior to each measurement to simulate a dynamic wound environment. Small but insignificant variation of the current signal was observed over the repeated measurements (ranging between 95% and 102% of the original response, RSD = 2.02%), with no noticeable decrease in the sensitivity coefficient, Fig. 3C. This is highly promising stability data for the new UA biosensor. We attribute the stability to tight glutaraldehyde cross-linking of the enzyme with the BSA stabilizer and the protective chitosan layer. We plan future experiments to investigate stability over 72 hours and more.

3.5. Impact of mechanical deformation

Wound dressings experience natural mechanical stress from bending due to the curvature, movement, and flexing of the human body at the application site. To assess the impact of mechanical deformation on the current response of the UA biosensor, the bandage was folded and released 80 times through 180°, Fig. 4A, and the response to a 400 μM UA standard measured after every 20 bends, Fig. 4B. Repeated bending stress was not found to have any significant affect on the electrochemical response of the smart bandage biosensor (RSD = 5.60%), Fig. 4C.

Fig. 2. Chronoamperometric response to increasing concentrations of UA (100 μM increments) in 0.1 M PBS (left) and the corresponding calibration plots (right). Measurements performed with (A, C) an electrochemical analyzer and (B, D) wireless potentiostat.
4. Conclusion

We have developed a wireless smart bandage biosensor for uric acid (UA). Uric acid is an important and specific biomarker of wound status. The electrochemical UA biosensor shows excellent analytical performance in terms of sensitivity, selectivity, operational stability, and robustness. A viable and low-cost screen-printing process has been developed to fabricate PB-carbon electrodes directly onto soft dressing materials. Combined with the custom designed wearable potentiostat and wireless electronics, this novel device provides a new and appealing way of determining UA.

---

Fig. 3. (A) Response of smart bandage to 400 μM UA in 0.1 M PBS in presence of common electroactive interferents found in wound fluid. (B) Relative currents based on current in 400 μM UA. (C) Operational stability of smart bandage exposed to 400 μM UA over 8 h, measured with wireless potentiostat. Inset: Select chronoamperograms recorded at (i) 0 h, (ii) 2 h, (iii) 4 h, (iv) 6 h.

---

Fig. 4. (A) Smart bandage subjected to bending experiments. (B) Response of sensor to 400 μM UA in 0.1 M PBS undergoing repeated bending. (C) Relative currents based on current measured in 400 μM UA before bending.
status. This is an important step for smart bandage technology in chronic wound care. Smart bandages will be deployed in outpatient and homecare settings to inform wound status without need for dressing removal. This highly specific biosensor for UA could provide status data to inform clinical intervention. Home-based patients could self-check using a custom application on a Smartphone or tablet, with data transfer to a healthcare service provider as needed. This would empower the patient, and allow the care provider to make informed treatment decisions. Employment of smart bandages could reduce the number of unnecessary chronic wound dressing changes, thus generating significant cost savings and reducing patient discomfort. Smart bandages can play a major role in changing the treatment paradigm, in improving patient care quality, in fostering the patient's engagement with their condition, but most importantly in improving patient quality of life.
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